WPSS No. 379 of 2005

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri I.P. Gairola, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttaranchal/ respondents.

Counsel for the parties have submitted that the controversy raised by means of this writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court delivered on 06.08.2004 passed in writ petition no. 1377(s) 2003 (Umapati Bhatt vs. State of Uttaranchal and ors.), as such, the present writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and observations expressed in the aforesaid judgment.

In view of the aforesaid statement the present writ petition is also disposed of on the same terms and observations made in writ petition no. 1377(ss) 2003 (Umapati Bhatt vs. State of Uttaranchal and ors.), delivered on 06.08.2004.

(M.M.G., J.)

02.08.2006:

NCM: